



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/663,685 09/17/2003		09/17/2003	Harumi Aoishi	Q77511	2446		
23373	7590	01/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUGHRU	•		RENNER, CRAIG A				
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING		20037	2652				

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/663,685	AOISHI, HARUMI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Craig A. Renner	2652		

	Craig A. Refiner	2002	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) to with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	e of Appeal. To avoid aba , affidavit, or other evided in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f).	ailing date of the final reject THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoustions and the corresponding to the control of the corresponding that the mailing than three months after the mailing than three months after the mailing than three months after the mailing than the corresponding to the corresp	unt of the fee. The approprioring originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action: or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) within the time period set forth	), to avoid dismissal of th in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ne appeal. Since
3. $igspace$ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a b	rief, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) X They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materiall	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	• • •	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Compliant / unchanion	(1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the aff	idavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under a	opeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but.	t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
10. Dulei		Craig A. Renher	- Lance
		Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 2652	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: For instance, the changes to independent claims 1 and 3.

CRAIGA. RENNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER